Subject: Re: do I really need to use loops on objects?
Posted by Craig Markwardt on Wed, 01 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bjackel@phys.ucalgary.ca writes:

> Brad Gom wrote:

>>

- >> It seems odd to me that I can't treat object arrays with the same
- >> elegance that is possible with all other array types. If I have a large
- >> list of objects of the same type, and I want to call the same method on
- >> each of them, do I really have to use a for loop? Wouldn't it be more
- >> consistent with the IDL philosophy to write: object\_array->method()
- >> instead of: for i=0,10 do object\_array[i]->method()

>

>

> I agree completely, but most people on this group don't appear to.

> The counter argument seems to be that an object array can contain

- > different kinds of objects, so you can't be sure that any particular
- > method will work for every element of the array. While true, this
- > seems (to me) to be a reason why object arrays should contain only
- > similar elements \*JUST LIKE EVERY OTHER IDL ARRAY TYPE\*. If you
- > want different kinds of objects wrapped up together then use an
- > array of pointers, or a structure with different object members.

One of the points of object oriented programming is \*polymorphism\*. Which means, in short, that the same function can be applied to different object types (possibly with differing implementations for each). That's the great idea of keeping a array of heterogeneous objects around.

So, object\_array->method() \*should\* call "method" for each object in object\_array, irregardless of the type of the object. If one of the objects doesn't define "method" then an exception should be raised. [Following Smalltalk or Objective C there should be some way to query an object to see if it responds to a particular method].

Not that I use objects much, but this is my sense of rightness.

Craig	
,	craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu Remove "net" for better response