
Subject: Re: do I really need to use loops on objects?
Posted by [philaldis](#) on Wed, 01 Dec 1999 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I don't think that this isn't particularly consistent with the IDL philosophy. I can see what you're saying but how would go about implementing your suggestion being as in your case the objects may be all of the same type, but in other cases the objects may all be different. What would IDL do then when some of the objects do have the method called and others don't?

What is more consistent with IDL philosophy is the fact that a procedure like `Obj_Destroy()` can work on an entire array of object references so you can destroy a whole bunch of them in one go. However IDL does not continue with this fully. What's always riled me is the fact that `Obj_Class()` does not work on a `objArr` and you can't get it to return a string array with the object's classes.

I'm sure lots of people will disagree but I think on this occasion IDL is correct.

Cheers,
Phil

Phil Aldis,
Gonville and Caius College,
Cambridge University,
CB2 1TA.
E-Mail : philaldis@yahoo.com

In article <38447341.28FBAACB@hotmail.com>,
Brad Gom <b_gom@hotmail.com> wrote:
> It seems odd to me that I can't treat object arrays with the same
> elegance that is possible with all other array types. If I have a
large
> list of objects of the same type, and I want to call the same method
on
> each of them, do I really have to use a for loop? Wouldn't it be more
> consistent with the IDL philosophy to write: `object_array->method()`
> instead of: `for i=0,10 do object_array[i]->method()`
>
> I'm just getting back into IDL after a short hiatus, and back to
object
> programming in particular.. is there something I've missed?
>

> Brad

>

>

Sent via Deja.com <http://www.deja.com/>
Before you buy.
