Subject: Re: Wt/Motif Interface (was: Real Number on PV-WAVE) Posted by Mark D. Williams on Mon, 29 Nov 1999 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"R. Kyle Justice" wrote:

- >> Then you must not be developing "elegant" user-interfaces through
- >> direct calls to the Motif toolkit via the Wt functions. This is
- >> the epitome of "obscure."
- >> But even when you have found the little-known resource that does just
- >> what you want, there is only a 50/50 chance that setting it will have
- >> any effect.
- >> It's too bad IDL users don't have the "joy" of going through this process
- > since they
- >> don't have the illusion of so many interface design choices :-)

Just as you note, it is a tradeoff between obscurity/difficulty and flexibility.

For the

additional flexibility that the Wt layer affords, I don't mind putting up with

some

obscurity and having to deal with the O'Reilly Motif "tomes". What the Ww layer

gives you in cross-platform portability (it is the only interface design layer

that

works consistently on Windows) you give up in interface flexibility.

There are some historical reasons behind Ww being the only supported layer

on both Motif and Windows. When VNI first developed PV-WAVE on the Windows platform, they ported a subset of their Wt functions from Motif to

the Microsoft Foundation Classes. How's that for obscure? I don't envy the

programmers that accomplished that their jobs. The subset of Wt/Motif that

VNI chose to port to Windows was exactly the functions necessary to get Ww to work properly. So it is a good bet that if VNI is using a Wt function in the Ww layer functions, that particular Wt function is supported on Windows, too. At least, that Wt function, in the specific way

that it is called from the Ww function is supported :-)

Now, I suppose this is all fine and good for someone coming to the task

with a good familiarity with UNIX/Motif. It is admittedly problematic and not quite desirable for the programmer coming to PV-WAVE from either a Visual Basic or MSVC++ background.

Regards, Mark Williams Resource Engineering, Inc.