
Subject: Re2: Bug in Trigrd ?

Posted by [hahn](#) on Fri, 25 Mar 1994 21:47:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <dogru.764572117@pico> dogru@pico.cs.umn.edu (Sait Dogru) writes:

- > I too faced this problem a while back, but I am not sure if you can
- > call it a *bug*. This is just how trigrd handles so-called
- > missing values.

Actually the example program I supplied was too simple. My real application has non-zero values on every point on the border. What I get from trigrd is a matrix with 3 correct sides and one side with zeros. Of course I can always rescale the matrix by

```
zmat = zmat(0:49,*)
```

to get rid of the line of zeros but I feel this should be different. *And* the results on a SUN are o.k. without rescaling.

This is why I would call it a bug!

- > There is also an optional keyword that lets you
- > change the value to be used for these missing points. (The default
- > is 0.)

This doesn't help for me because there are no missing data in my application and if I could extend the data beyond the definition a constant wouldn't help. Acutally, the expected plot should look like a hammock.

- > What I did was trim the output matrix of trigrd on all
- > 4 sides and eliminate the rows/columns containing all zeros. Then,
- > I plotted the smaller matrix using surface or a similar 3D plotting
- > function. This usually produces better results, but sometimes the
- > improvement is minimal, which was the case for the output of your
- > program.

But I have to clip only one row (or column) !! That's to strange to call it a feature.

- > Another problem with trigrd is the ranges of the X/Y axes. They
- > always default to 50. Although you can change the size of the
- > output matrix, X and Y ranges still won't correspond to the actual
- > data ranges of your X/Y values, which calls for another set of
- > tricks to handle correctly. (In your program, for example, x and y
- > values were from 0 to 30 but the ticks on X/Y ranges read 0 to 50.)

If I understand trigrd well enough, it uses the x,y,z triplets to

interpolate a matrix on a regular grid. If you pass only this matrix to `surface` or a similar routine, this routine has no "knowledge" of the x,y underlaying the z-matrix. Thus, by default, the annotation gives you the index numbers, going from 0 to 50. So I feel it's pretty normal that you have to supply the real x and y values for correct annotation.

> I am using an RS600 and we recently switched from version 3.1. to
> 3.5.1. Both versions have this problem, so I don't know why `trigrd`
> seems to work fine for you on Suns, though.

Unfortunately I don't have quick and easy access to a sun so I can't tell if the individual `zmat`-values are identical and there is just an extra row of zeros added on a rs6000 or a pc. I'm scared however, the matrix values may differ between different workstations...

>
> Sait
> dogru@cs.umn.edu

Thanks for your help!
Norbert Hahn
University at Darmstadt,
Germany
