
Subject: Re: best way to ps an image

Posted by [Liam E. Gumley](#) on Wed, 19 Jan 2000 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rgs wrote:

- > This is kinda a dumb question that I'm pretty
- > sure I know what to do, but I'm interested to
- > see if anyone has already done this, and has a
- > good solution.
- >
- > I am outputting data into a plot window (in direct graphics)
- > that requires a large number of solid polyfill rectangles
- > (on the order of a few million).
- > When I write this to postscript, it makes a huge file
- > (50 meg up to ~few hundred), and of course is slow
- > to print and view etc.
- >
- > (drawing to screen isn't a problem. Sure it takes a few seconds,
- > but no big deal.)
- >
- > Obviously making an image would create a much
- > better printer file.
- >
- > Question:
- > What is the best (publication quality) way to create
- > an image file of graphical output? I would prefer
- > postscript, to be consistent with all the other
- > figures I do. Are there any scalable image formats?

If you render the image on-screen, then SAVEIMAGE will capture it to a GIF, JPEG, TIFF etc:

<http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~gumley/imagetools.html>

You can print the resulting image using whatever image display tool you have available. On a PC, insert the image into a word processor document and print it from there. On a UNIX box, display the image in xv, save it to Postscript format, and print the Postscript version.

Cheers,
Liam.
