Subject: Re: TexToIDL broken in 5.3 due to mystery function Posted by James Tappin on Mon, 17 Jan 2000 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Martin Schultz wrote:

- > too bad it's not documented. Although IDL reserves the right to add
- > functions and procedures any time, I think it would be a better practice
- > to have a special naming for hidden (undocumented) routines. E.g.
- > strtok would be a name that no one chooses out of lust.
- > Alternatively, I herewith formally demand to receive documentation on
- > this routine ;-)

Along with the very useful ROUTINE_NAMES

```
+-----
| James Tappin | School of Physics & Astronomy | O__ |
| sjt@star.sr.bham.ac.uk | University of Birmingham | -- V |
Ph: 0121-414-6462. Fax: 0121-414-3722
+----
```