
Subject: Re: Not where

Posted by [bowman](#) on Mon, 07 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <bowman-0702000905130001@bowman.tamu.edu>, bowman@null.edu (Kenneth P. Bowman) wrote:

> It would be nice to have an auxiliary array containing all the indices
> that are *not* returned by WHERE in i.

Thanks for all the replies. Rather than appear to favor one, I decided to reply to my own posting. ;-)

The short answer seems to be no, there is no fast and easy way, although I tend to favor Liam's approach as being most similar to the existing WHERE function.

On another subject, I have been browsing through the "What's New in IDL 5.3" volume (thanks for earlier help from this group) and have discovered several improvements that have been proposed in this group (at least I thought they were good ideas!), although they are not necessarily easy to find.

The TOTAL function now includes a CUMULATIVE keyword. This makes it easy to convert histograms into cumulative histograms (among other things).

Speaking of not easy to find ;-), the new bisection search function is called VALUE_LOCATE. I do like the way it is implemented, though.`

Ken
