Subject: Re: Not where Posted by Craig Markwardt on Mon, 07 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

bowman@null.edu (Kenneth P. Bowman) writes:

- > I often find myself using WHERE to divide an array into two parts. I do
- > one operation on the first part and a different operation on the second
- > part.

>

- > It would be nice to have an auxiliary array containing all the indices
- > that are *not* returned by WHERE in i. For example, it would be nice to
- > do
- > ...

I think I've asked for this before on this list, and I agree with you wholeheartedly. I don't believe there's anyway to simulate the desired feature in IDL without doing another operation.

The matrix approach doesn't always seem to be appropriate. (For example, working on very large arrays, one wants to avoid as many computations as possible. The matrix approach actually *compounds* the number of computations).

Craig	
,	craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu Remove "net" for better response