Subject: Re: TeXtoIDL fix for IDL 5.3
Posted by Mark Hadfield on Fri, 18 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Randall Smith <rsmith@kracko.harvard.edu> wrote in message news:owemabj2db.fsf@kracko.harvard.edu...

> >

- > Anyway, it turns out that RSI, in a fit of what can only be described
- > as morally degenerate programming, added a new function (strtok) to
- > the language, although they \_didn't\_ see fit to document this (it's
- > apparently part of the new string routines stregex, strjoin, strmatch,
- > and strsplit that are in IDL 5.3).

It's even more irritatingly trivial than that. They added strtok, then decided to call it strsplit, but instead of renaming it they wrote strsplit as a wrapper. Strsplit's code, in its entirety, is:

function strsplit, string, pattern, \_ref\_extra=extra

if (n\_params() eq 1) then return, strtok(string, \_extra=extra) \$ else return, strtok(string, pattern, \_extra=extra)

end

I hope RSI doesn't sue me for violating copyright by reproducing this. Think of the advantage their competitors could gain by perusing it!

P.S. No more frivolous posts for a week, I promise!

---

Mark Hadfield m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz http://katipo.niwa.cri.nz/~hadfield/ National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research PO Box 14-901, Wellington, New Zealand