
Subject: Re: idl2matlab translate-o-matic
Posted by [David McClain](#) on Tue, 22 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.131b0ab058866bad989a3c@news.frii.com...

> Michael C Schweisguth (mschweis@u.arizona.edu) writes:

>

> IDL does a better job of creating features

> professional programmers find desirable.

>

Perhaps "better than MatLab", but hardly what "professional programmers" want. What can you say of a language that is purely array oriented, but cannot comprehend the existence of an empty array? What of a language that can itself reclaim memory from unused arrays, but forces the user to reclaim "pointers" and "objects"? Etc., etc., ...

D.McClain, Sr. Scientist
Raytheon Systems Co.
Tucson, AZ
