Subject: Re: idl2matlab translate-o-matic
Posted by David McClain on Tue, 22 Feb 2000 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote in message news:MPG.131c545e69ea47df989a43@news.frii.com...

> David McClain (dmcclain@azstarnet.com) writes:

>

- > Cleaning up variables is one thing, but checking for *every*
- > pointer reference at the end of every program module that
- > exits would bring just about any program--never mind IDL--
- > to a complete stand-still. It shouldn't be done. I applaud
- > the folks at RSI for dismissing the idea out of hand.

>

Sorry to have to disagree with you... I routinely use a language called Caml that performs full blown GC *all* the time. It runs at speeds that rival C/C++, and often surpasses C on large vectorized array calculations. Modeling programs written in it certainly run 2 to 5 times faster than IDL on especially large array models, and orders of magnitude faster for non-numeric calculations. GC is not the pig that it once was. Much has been learned during the past 20 years, but RSI has chosen to ignore most of these advances...

- DM