Subject: Re: fun with random numbers Posted by landsman on Thu, 02 Mar 2000 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <38BD4DB6.E9F60A17@phys.ucalgary.ca>, Brian Jackel

| Brian Jackel

| Bri

- > Greetings
- >
- > We've just spent a couple hours figuring out why a Monte-Carlo
- > simulation was giving peculiar (ie. wrong) results.

>

- > The "test" procedure creates two random variables and prints
- > them. Called twice, you might think that the results would be
- > totally different. Here's an example result:

- > X 0.120838
- 0.649213 0.139354 0.745442 0.577647 > V

- > X 0.649213
- > V 0.577647 0.139354 0.745442 0.942317

showing how the "random" numbers are merely being shifted by one position.

Sigh.....

This bug has existed since IDL V5.1.1 and continues into IDL V5.3. It turns out that there were *two* RANDOMU bugs introduced into V5.1.1. The first one was that the SEED variable was being initialized to the same value at the start of each session. This bug was fixed in V5.2.1. But the bug described above by Brian Jackel appears to continue into V5.3.

Below I update my epic on the history of RANDOMU problems. Note that I also give the wrapper RANDOM() routine suggested by Pat Broos to fix the problem with multiple RANDOMU calls described above.

--Wayne Landsman landsman@mpb.gsfc.nasa.gov

- V4.0.1: No problems? (But the algorithm was rumored to be far from state of the art.)
- V5.0: RANDOMU could yield a non-random distribution if two programs using RANDOMU are interleaved. For example, in the program demo.pro given at the bottom of this message, the command demo,/breakit will show a significant excess in the distribution of random numbers between 0

V5.1: A *negative* seed value must be specified if you want to preserve

the same "random" sequence

IDL> seed = 2 & print, randomu(seed, 3) 0.0594004 0.982075 0.358593 IDL> seed = 2 & print, randomu(seed, 3) 0.831999 0.303037 0.506712

but

IDL> seed = -2 & print, randomu(seed, 3) 0.342299 0.402381 0.307838 IDL> seed = -2 & print, randomu(seed, 3) 0.342299 0.402381 0.307838

This isn't necessarily a bug, but it means that RANDOMU works differently in V5.1 than in all other IDL versions.

V5.1.1 and V5.2:

- (1) The seed variable is now initialized to the same value at the start of each session rather than the system clock. Thus, Monte Carlo simulations from different IDL sessions, might yield decidedly unrandom results.
- (2) Perhaps more insidious, only the first call to RANDOMU is initialized inside a program. Thus, if one calls the following program test.pro multiple times, you will see that the "random" vector is simply the vector on the previous call, shifted by one.

PRO test print, randomu(seed) print, randomu(seed,6) return end

V5.2.1 and V5.3

Problem (1) in V5.1.1 has been fixed -- the seed variable is correctly initialized. But problem (2) concerning multiple RANDOMU calls inside a program remains. For this last problem, Pat Broos suggests using the following wrapper program to RANDOMU to store the seed value in a common block.

```
FUNCTION random, n1, n2, n3, NEW_SEED=new_seed, _EXTRA=extra
COMMON random_seed, seed
if keyword_set(new_seed) then seed = long(new_seed)
case n_params() of
  0: return, randomu(seed,
                              _EXTRA=extra)
  1: return, randomu(seed,n1, _EXTRA=extra)
  2: return, randomu(seed,n1,n2, _EXTRA=extra)
  3: return, randomu(seed,n1,n2,n3, _EXTRA=extra)
endcase
end
   *********************************
FUNCTION lib random
return, randomu(other_seed,1)
end
PRO demo, x, BREAK_IT=break_it
; Type demo,/breakit to see the "non-random" distribution that can
result in;
V5.0. Works correctly in earlier and later IDL versions
x = fltarr(100000)
for ii = 0L, n_elements(x)-1 do begin
 x(ii) = randomu(seed, 1)
 if keyword_set(break_it) then dummy = lib_random()
endfor
h = histogram(x, MIN=0.0, BIN=0.01)
plot, h, PSYM=10
print, h
return
end
Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```