

---

Subject: Re: Can this be done using CALL\_FUNCTION?  
Posted by [edward.s.meinel](#) on Wed, 08 Mar 2000 08:00:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <38C53FCA.A89BD43E@astro.cornell.edu>,

"J.D. Smith" <jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu> wrote:

> edward.s.meinel@aero.org wrote:

>>

>> I am working with spectral images. Unfortunately, IDL is geared toward

>> multidimensional data in which all of the dimensions are the same type

>> (i.e. spatial, spectral, frequency...) but it doesn't like to operate

>> on data with mixed dimensions, such as a multispectral image.

>

> What is it about multi-spectral data that IDL doesn't like?

You answered your own question...

> It's up to

> you to keep track of which dimensions are which,

This gets to be a real pain after the umpteenth time of checking the number of dimensions and setting up the different cases of TRUE.

> It certainly

> doesn't care about whether a single dimension of your data array

> represents spatial, temporal, or spectral changes...

> maybe I'm missing something.

No, IDL doesn't care about these issues when `_creating_` the array, but it certainly does care when `_operating_` on the array.

> It is true that certain IDL routines operate on images, or require

> other specially formatted or dimensioned data, but how is it to know

> which dimensions you are interested in without specifically telling it?

Well, I was thinking about something along the lines of the example I gave.

> Maybe you could give us an example in which this kind of generality

> would be useful.

I did. How about HISTOGRAM? FFT? Median filter? ...

Ed Meinel

Sent via Deja.com <http://www.deja.com/>  
Before you buy.

---