
Subject: Re: Q: contour levels from IDL

Posted by [hcp](#) on Wed, 22 Mar 2000 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <MPG.134289ae9da4a3f0989a9e@news.frii.com>, davidf@dfanning.com (David Fanning) writes:

|> H C Pumphrey (hcp@newsread.ed.ac.uk) writes:

|> > [snip] you actually get between ndesired and 2*ndesired

|> > ; contours.

|>

|> I fail to see how this is much of an improvement over

|> IDL's:

|> ncontours = nlevels + (more or less)

|> But certainly not TWO times more! :-)

It's the price you pay for getting to pick the range _and_ having nice round numbers for the contour values.

(I think I was answering a point that was brought up halfway along the thread not the original point at all)

Hugh

--

=====

=====

Hugh C. Pumphrey | Telephone 0131-650-6026
Department of Meteorology | FAX 0131-650-5780
The University of Edinburgh | Replace 0131 with +44-131 if outside U.K.
EDINBURGH EH9 3JZ, Scotland | Email hcp@met.ed.ac.uk
OBDisclaimer: The views expressed herein are mine, not those of UofE.

=====

=====
