

---

Subject: Re: multiplication

Posted by [meron](#) on Tue, 28 Mar 2000 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <38E0A379.34ADB7F7@wizard.net>, James Kuyper <kuyper@wizard.net> writes:

> meron@cars3.uchicago.edu wrote:

>>

>> In article <38E03BDC.868B8396@hotmail.com>, marc <m\_schellens@hotmail.com> writes:

>>> Is there a function like TOTAL but for multiplication.

>>> Like the big PI symbol in mathematical notation.

>>> Or this really something for the for loop?

>>>

>>> I.E.

>>>

>>> a=[1,2,3,...]

>>>

>>> result=a[1]\*a[2]\*a[3]...

>>>

>> if all the elements of a are positive then you can simply do

>>

>> result = exp(total(alog(a)))

> ...

>> If some of the elements are negative, you can still handle it. do

>>

>> dum = where(a lt 0, ndum)

>> sig = (-1)^ndum

>> result = sig\*exp(total(alog(abs(a))))

>

> You can't honestly be suggesting that this is a good technique?

Good? No, only not as bad as using "for".

> Ignore for a moment what happens if any element of 'a' is 0.

That's the easiest to deal with. You're already checking for presence of negative elements, can check for zeroes as well. That should be the first thing, in fact, since if even one of the elements is 0, then the result is 0 and you can dispense with the rest of the evaluation.

> That code performs two transcendental function evaluations per element

> of 'a'.

Yep, indeed.

> IDL would have to be very badly engineered (which I suppose is possible),

> for a 'for' loop to execute more slowly than your code.

Well, I run a quick test, comparing the time it takes to evaluate the

product using both methods (it run on an old Vms Alpha, somebody may want to repeat it on a more modern platform. Being lazy, I'm simply filling an array with a constant element, then doing the multiplication. Here is the output

```
IDL> speed, 1.00001, 100, 10
"for" time    =    0.0012000084 res =    1.00100
"exp-log" time =    0.00019999743 res =    1.00100
```

```
IDL> speed, 1.00001, 1000, 10
"for" time    =    0.012699997 res =    1.01006
"exp-log" time =    0.0012000084 res =    1.01006
```

```
IDL> speed, 1.00001, 10000, 10
"for" time    =    0.12589999 res =    1.10532
"exp-log" time =    0.011699998 res =    1.10532
```

```
IDL> speed, 1.00001, 100000, 10
"for" time    =    1.2583000 res =    2.72191
"exp-log" time =    0.12850000 res =    2.72198
```

The first input to SPEED is the array element, the second is the length of the array. the third is just telling SPEED how many times to repeat the test. As you can see, the above was tried for arrays with lengths ranging from 100 to 100000 and calculation using "for" loop is consistently an order of magnitude slower.

Mati Meron | "When you argue with a fool,  
meron@cars.uchicago.edu | chances are he is doing just the same"

---