
Subject: Re: Work at RSI!

Posted by [Patrick Broos](#) on Tue, 11 Apr 2000 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML>

Research Systems wrote:

<BLOCKQUOTE TYPE=CITE>You've been using IDL for years and you like it!

You're the "go-to" person

for difficult IDL-related questions in your organization. Perhaps there
are

even some things about IDL that you wish were different or you think
could

be better. Here's your chance to do something about it.

<P>We're looking for people with one foot in science and the other foot
in

programming (and an understanding of both) to contribute to future
IDL

functionality.</BLOCKQUOTE>

<PRE>I find this opportunity at RSI to pose a moral dilemma.</PRE>

<PRE>I enjoy programming in IDL, I've wanted to work again in Colorado for</PRE>

<PRE>quite a while, and I happen to have experience relevant to RSI's need.</PRE>

<PRE>However over the years I've come to believe that the environment/culture</PRE>

<PRE>at RSI does not tend to place a high enough value on scientific integrity,</PRE>

<PRE>i.e. getting correct answers from IDL applications, or on their customers'</PRE>

<PRE>time. For example:</PRE>

<PRE>* To this day RSI has not done anything about the corrupt scientific results</PRE>

<PRE>its customers may produce using the multi-flawed random number routines.</PRE>

<PRE>(Just three weeks ago another person reported to this newsgroup that they</PRE>

<PRE>were burned by this.)</PRE>

<PRE>* RSI seems to make no effort to notify its customers of even routine and</PRE>

<PRE>straightforward bugs that are known and fixed. They have twice now given</PRE>

<PRE>me a revised version of cw_form.pro when I pointed out a bug but made no</PRE>

