Subject: Re: linking programs/ procedures

Posted by davidf on Wed, 26 Apr 2000 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Troy Carter (tcarter@princeton.edu) writes:

- > OK. sorry, it doesn't exactly compile it separately, but I believe it
- > does what our friend asking the question wanted :). Sometimes it can be
- > necessary: I am part of an experimental group that works out of one unix
- > account (as a solution to the problem of who owns the data files, maybe
- > not the best solution -- afs permissions might be better). So, we all
- > use the same routines, but someone is always doing something stupid like
- > copying a routine out of one directory into another. So sometimes
- > several versions exist in severl places (all of which are in the idl
- > path). So this causes much frustration, and it has become easier to
- > target the specific file you are after with the "@" include statement.
- > Sure, we should do a better job of keeping often used routines in one
- > place with one version (I am trying to use RCS/CVS now to help out with
- > that), but just to be sure, the "@" makes a lot of sense.

Oh, well, if your life is a mess ... Then, of course, anything that works. :-)

Cheers.

David

P.S. Let's just say if you know what you are doing you can get away with a LOT of things. I'm just not sure our friend is at that stage yet. :-)

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Covote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155