
Subject: Re: linking programs/ procedures
Posted by [davidf](#) on Wed, 26 Apr 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Troy Carter (tcarter@princeton.edu) writes:

> OK. sorry, it doesn't exactly compile it separately, but I believe it
> does what our friend asking the question wanted :). Sometimes it can be
> necessary: I am part of an experimental group that works out of one unix
> account (as a solution to the problem of who owns the data files, maybe
> not the best solution -- afs permissions might be better). So, we all
> use the same routines, but someone is always doing something stupid like
> copying a routine out of one directory into another. So sometimes
> several versions exist in several places (all of which are in the idl
> path). So this causes much frustration, and it has become easier to
> target the specific file you are after with the "@" include statement.
> Sure, we should do a better job of keeping often used routines in one
> place with one version (I am trying to use RCS/CVS now to help out with
> that), but just to be sure, the "@" makes a lot of sense.

Oh, well, if your life is a mess ... Then, of course, anything
that works. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Let's just say if you know what you are doing you can
get away with a LOT of things. I'm just not sure our friend is
at that stage yet. :-)

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
