
Subject: Re: 3D Reconstructions of 2D Slices
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Fri, 21 Apr 2000 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

davidf@dfanning.com (David Fanning) writes:

> Craig Markwardt (craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu) writes:

>

>> Would this just-recently-declassified snippet help?

>>

>> vol = dblarr(100,100,20) ; 100x100 images, 20 of them

>> for i = 0, 19 do \$

>> vol(*,*,i) = read_my_image(image_file(i))

>>

>> Extra bonus points can be gotten for recognizing the speed-up achieved

>> by saying "vol(0,0,i) = " instead.

>>

>> Somehow I think you are asking for more though?

>

> Would that it *were* this easy. Then all those Ph.D.

> candidates working on innovative techniques could purchase

> IDL and be hanging out at the corner bar instead of the

> lab.

>

> Unfortunately, the problem seems to be a *tad* more

> involved than this. But thanks to the 100 or so of you

> who think so little of my demonstrated IDL skills that

> you all sent me the same suggestion. :-)

Umm.... So what *are* the constraints? Are the slices evenly spaced?
Orthogonal directions? Arbitrary directions?

Jeez, and *you* complain when people don't give enough information!
:-)

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response
