Subject: Re: Top 10 IDL Requests

Posted by Patrick Broos on Mon, 17 Jul 2000 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > So, here is the deal. If you feel inclined to
- > submit a couple of ideas to this newsgroup, I
- > will collect them and submit them personally
- > to the VP. I'll even follow up and make sure
- > he has, uh, read them. :-)

David,

Thanks for working to improve IDL on behalf of the user community. I don't have

any humorous thoughts this morning, but here are some ideas that you might consider.

* Like you, I have spent a lot of effort working around IDL's very primitive handling

of color. I eventually developed an environment for my own applications (as you have)

that allows 8 & 24 bit color, X and PostScript devices, user-defined color tables, and

named colors (e.g. "red"). For the beginning user however there is a tremendous

learning curve to do something like, say, tvscl an image user a specified color table,

draw a "blue" box on it (where blue is not in the given color table), and print it out

in color PostScript. Surely more of this could be available "out of the box".

* Similarly, it takes a great deal of reading and effort to mix images and plot axes.

Yes, you've done it, I've done it, and many folks have done it, but it remains a

significant obstacle for new users.

* It would be nice if RSI better supported the administration of IDL at a user site

(as opposed to single-user installations). For example, it would be convenient if

the IDL administrator had a convenient way to supply IDL_PATH and IDL_STARTUP

values to all users, while retaining the user's ability to supply additional path and

startup information. I wrote a script to do this

(http://www.astro.psu.edu/users/patb/psu_idl)

but RSI could support this problem more directly.

- * As I've ranted and raved before, I still think RANDOMN() and RANDOMU() have such a checkered history of bugs and very poor semantics that they should be retired and replaced with a new routine of better design.
- * A lot of code could be streamlined if IDL widely supported the concept of a zero-length array (distinguished from an undefined variable). Think how useful the concept of the null string is in string processing.

Thanks, Pat

Patrick S. Broos, Systems Analyst/Programmer patb@astro.psu.edu

Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics My office 814-863-7947

Penn State University

525 Davey Lab FAX 814-863-8686

University Park, PA 16802-6305

http://www.astro.psu.edu Group office 863-9550