Subject: Re: Top 10 IDL Requests
Posted by Harvey Rarback on Tue, 18 Jul 2000 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Craig Markwardt wrote:

>

"richard hilton" <rdh5@dmu.ac.uk> writes:

>

- >> How about an equivalent of the C-commands CONTINUE and BREAK to control
- >> loops. This would be more elegant than the "GOTO....label" that exists at
- >> the moment. Maybe I'm being pedantic but this seems an obvious change.

>

> I like this suggestion a lot!

>

- > Oooh, I just thought of something. This may cause name conflicts.
- > People who use CONTINUE or BREAK as variable names would not be happy
- > if the language suddenly changed. Still, I would highly prize these
- > constructs if they could be implemented discretely.

How about implementing this with another argument to COMPILE_OPT?

BTW, I love the behavior of COMPILE_OPT IDL2 and use it in all my new code. No more putting those dumb "L's" after all my integer constants.

--Harvey