
Subject: Re: Top 10 IDL Requests

Posted by [Harvey Rarback](#) on Tue, 18 Jul 2000 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt wrote:

>
> "richard hilton" <rdh5@dmu.ac.uk> writes:
>
>> How about an equivalent of the C-commands CONTINUE and BREAK to control
>> loops. This would be more elegant than the "GOTO....label" that exists at
>> the moment. Maybe I'm being pedantic but this seems an obvious change.
>
> I like this suggestion a lot!
>
> Oooh, I just thought of something. This may cause name conflicts.
> People who use CONTINUE or BREAK as variable names would not be happy
> if the language suddenly changed. Still, I would highly prize these
> constructs if they could be implemented discretely.

How about implementing this with another argument to COMPILE_OPT?

BTW, I love the behavior of COMPILE_OPT IDL2 and use it in all my new code. No more putting those dumb "L's" after all my integer constants.

--Harvey
