"richard hilton" <rdh5@dmu.ac.uk> writes:

> How about an equivalent of the C-commands CONTINUE and BREAK to control
> loops. This would be more elegant than the "GOTO....label" that exists at
> the moment. Maybe I'm being pedantic but this seems an obvious change.

I like this suggestion a lot!

Oooh, I just thought of something. This may cause name conflicts.
People who use CONTINUE or BREAK as variable names would not be happy
if the language suddenly changed. Still, I would highly prize these
constructs if they could be implemented discretely.

Craig

--
 --- --------------
Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D.   EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response
 --- --------------