Subject: Re: David: for your book? Posted by Martin Schultz on Wed, 16 Aug 2000 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Craig Markwardt wrote:

```
> Martin Schultz <martin.schultz@dkrz.de> writes:
>> As an aside, I recently found another problem in terms of backward
>> compability (I am
>> sure something similar was already mentioned in this newsgroup, but this
>> was the first
>> time I had this problem):
     I tried to write a routine that takes advantage of the new StrMatch
>> and StRegex
>> functions in 5.3. In order to ensure backward compatibility, I enclosed
>> these function calls
>> with
      IF !Version.Release GE 5.3 THEN BEGIN
>>
      ENDIF
>>
>>
>> However, a colleague tells me: no chance! The program will report a
>> syntax error when run in
>> IDL 5.2! So, the problem is that IDL looks for a function of this name
>> during compile stage
>> and doesn't care if it will ever be used.
> ...
>
> There is a way to do this without defining an external function, by
> using FORWARD FUNCTION properly:
>
> FORWARD FUNCTION stregex, strmatch
> IF !Version.Release GE 5.3 THEN BEGIN
    foo = stregex(bar)
    sm = strmatch(eg)
> ENDIF
> This will make sure that IDL will always recognize strmatch & stregex
> as functions during the compilation stage, and there will be no syntax
> errors in any IDL version. It is harmless in IDL 5.3. If you really
> want the warning message, then by all means go ahead and make your own
> strmatch definitions et al.
> Have fun.
> Craig
```

Thanks, Craig.

I didn't believe that this would cure it (I had thought it would just

change the error message), but you are right, it works. So, maybe some people can still live with IDL 5.2 after all.

BTW: any one out there who is still using earlier versions (prior to 5.2)?

Maybe I shouldn't ask because I fear this will swamp the newsgroup -- so please

reply only by email, subject "old idl", content '!version = ' then I will

collect these messages for a while and report a summary later (after a week

or two). I assure you that these messages will be kept strictly confidental and

will be deleted after processing the version information.

Cheers, Martin