Subject: Re: IDL 5.3 serious problem: save files sneakily restored Posted by davidf on Fri, 25 Aug 2000 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Martin Schultz (martin.schultz@dkrz.de) writes:

> This is at least contrary to the online help of 5.3:

Well, who ya gonna believe? Me or the on-line help? :-)

- > So, at least theoretically, pro should get executed before sav.
- > And this makes all the sense in the world, because otherwise it
- > would be hard for authors of compiled files to develop them -
- > they would have to delete the sav file each time they modify the
- > pro file and want to test changes.

I can't understand how the pro should be executed before the sav, even theoretically. How would the sav file \*ever\* get executed unless it is the \*first\* once checked?

And it is not problem \*testing\* changed routines. Just compile them. IDL \*always\* looks in memory first, sav file second, and pro file third.

But I think you \*do\* have to delete the sav file if you want the changed file to be found \*automatically\*. Although I'm too busy right now to test it. I'm going to leave that to Ben, since this is certainly an exam question. :-)

Cheers.

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155