
Subject: Re: Keyword precedence

Posted by [Martin Schultz](#) on Mon, 28 Aug 2000 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mark Hadfield and John-Cavid Smith had a long discussion in which Mark wrote at some point:

>
> I think the rule should be, where a choice has to be made between duplicate
> keywords, always choose the last one in the list.
>

now for the slow-movers: does this mean that
 wrapper, color=12, _Extra=e
and
 wrapper, _Extra=e, color=12

should yield different results if there is a color tag in the extra structure? I could see some benefits to this, but it would alter the whole idea of keywords being position independent as opposed to parameter arguments.

Although this may seem overly formal, I would argue that the "convenience" gained by overriding keywords that are explicitly set with values in the extra structure does not aid in writing "clean" programs. If you are messing with any particular property in your routines, this property should always be identified by having its own keyword in my opinion. So, if you want a default color, the procedure header should be something like:

```
pro wrapper, color=color, _EXTRA=e

  IF N_Elements(color) EQ 0 THEN color = 12
  plot, x,y, color=color, _EXTRA=e

end
```

and the "real" color keyword should be used (which I think and hope it gets).

Conclusions (these tend to come near the end of an article ;-):

I agree with JD that IDL should be stricter and issue an error if it finds more than 1 keyword of the same name in a _ref_extra list, and perhaps it should even be possible to generate an error if the two identical keywords appear in the _extra list (another compile_opt flag?).

Cheers,
Martin

--

```
[[ Dr. Martin Schultz  Max-Planck-Institut fuer Meteorologie
[[
[[      Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg
[[
[[      phone: +49 40 41173-308
[[
[[      fax:  +49 40 41173-298
[[
[[ martin.schultz@dkrz.de
[[
[[
```
