
Subject: Sum along diagonals

Posted by [mole6e23](#) on Fri, 25 Aug 2000 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi there..

I thought I'd ask this question, more out of curiosity as to a possible result than for really needing to optimize it (this code is used approximately three times for every blue moon). I'm always impressed with some of the results from this group, most recently:

```
a=a[* ,where(histogram(b,MIN=0,MAX=(size(a,/DIMENSIONS))[1]-1 ,BINSIZE=1) eq 0)]
```

I wouldn't have thought of compacting all that into one line!

Every once in a while (not often enough to make me worry about optimizing too much), I want to take a not necessarily square matrix and get the sum along the diagonals, such as the following, with the theoretical function `sum_diag`:

```
IDL> blah = indgen( 4, 4 )
IDL> print, blah
    0    1    2    3
    4    5    6    7
    8    9   10   11
   12   13   14   15
IDL> print, sum_diag( blah )
    0    5   15   30   30   25   15
```

which is the series [0, 4+1, 8+5+2, 12+9+6+3, ...]

Of course, to be difficult, I'd like it to work for non-square matrices as well:

```
IDL> blah = indgen( 5, 3 )
IDL> print,blah
    0    1    2    3    4
    5    6    7    8    9
   10   11   12   13   14
```

and the result would be the series [0, 5+1, 10+6+2, 11+7+3, ...]

The best I've found is to count the diagonals, loop through those, and then loop again through the possible row and column indices in that array. It's pretty ugly, and very time consuming for a 512x512 array (most of what I want). It just occurred to me now that for the square matrix, you could take "`blah + transpose( blah )`" and now you only have to worry about the lower half of the matrix (and the doubled diagonal elements), so it might be a bit better, but still messy.

So if you're bored, I'd love to see a better solution than a bunch of long, nested loops, even if just only for the square case (since that is 90% of the cases in my data)

Enjoy!
Todd
