
Subject: Re: Gridding options

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Wed, 30 Aug 2000 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"J.D. Smith" <jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu> writes:

> Ben Tupper wrote:

>> (Sorry, JD, it does have loopity-loops. You can flick a worm into the

>> air... but that doesn't mean it knows how to fly! I'm still trying to

>> figure out what

>>

> *****

> tt=total(a[(((dy=((di=lindgen(((n=nx<ny)),nx+ny-1))) / n)) * (nx gt ny?1:nx) + \$

> (nx gt ny?1:-1) * ((dx=di mod n) * (nx-1)) > 0 < (nx*ny-1)] * \$

> (dy ge dx AND (dy-dx) lt nx>ny),1)

> *****

>>

>> means. Maybe I need to drink less/more coffee.)

>

> It's written in an ancient IDL runic language lost in the dark ages (1993).

> Simply run it on an array "a" after defining the dimensions "nx" and "ny" and

> all will be clear.

Another disadvantage to vectorizing with very large arrays is that IDL has to generate the indices for the array dynamically. This can consume a lot of memory (sometimes more than the array itself, if it's a byte or int array!). The expression, `lindgen((nx<ny), nx+ny-1), [some deleted]` is the giveaway that JD had to essentially create a whole new array even bigger than the original. Still, it's a pretty impressive computation.

Todd, did you compare the run time?

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response
