

---

Subject: Re: Philosophy of for loops

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Thu, 31 Aug 2000 15:51:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

edward.s.meinel@aero.org writes:

```
>  
> So does that mean that form (3) is slower than form (1)?  
>  
> (3) for j=0,2047 do $  
>     for i=0,2047 do $  
>         outarr[i,j]=median(inarr[* ,i,j])  
>  
>
```

No, I think your form (3) would be interpreted as a single line, so it would be equivalent to form (2). The following form (4) would be slightly slower than (1), but keep in mind that small amounts of processing in the outer loop are pretty much negligible.

```
for j = 0, 2047 do begin  
  for i = 0, 2047 do begin  
    outarr[i,j]=median(inarr[* ,i,j])  
  endfor  
endfor
```

Craig

--

-----  
Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D.      EMAIL: [craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu](mailto:craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu)  
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response  
-----