Subject: Re: taking the widget plunge. help Posted by Martin Schultz on Tue, 12 Sep 2000 08:32:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## "J.D. Smith" wrote:

>

- >> P.S. Let's just say I spent a couple of days writing
- >> documentation for FSC\_PSConfig, \*the\* best program I've
- >> ever written, and no one downloads it or uses it. You just
- >> figure after a while, what's the point?

>

- > I took this as a friendly reminder to check out your new stuff, and I was
- > frankly amazed at how much work you'd put into the documentation. Really an
- > astounding effort. I will try to integrate FSC\_PSConfig into some of my
- programs around here.

>

- One note which I think is instructive. You include a section on customization
- > where you outline how to directly modify your source to add personal or
- > company-wide set-up lists. This is a very useful feature, but I think you're
- > going to cause yourself and potential users grief here. It's a \*perfect\* place
- > to flex our object oriented muscles. The problem will be that in a year you'll
- > think of a great way to redesign it, or maybe RSI makes some changes to device
- > which prompt a rewrite. Then, either all the users who have made their own
- > modifications will be out of luck, or you'll be contrained in what kind of
- > updates you can do. It is exactly these types of situations that scream out for
- > some sort of object relationship. If, rather than giving direction on how to
- > change your code, you gave a simple example of INHERIT'ing your class, and
- > chaining to its setup code, you could fully preserve "forward compatibility" --
- > i.e. drop-in replacement of your updated code.

I fully agree. Isn't inheriting what objects are all about?

- > Or, since in this case the local setup changes are data-only (no fundamental
- > method changes), you could simply provide access to an internally growable list
- > of setups. Inheritance is not even really required.

>

- I haven't looked closely, but a method which allows you to add setup lists
- > (e.g. self->AddSetup, "Company Viewgraph", /EUROPEAN, FontNameSet="Helvetica"),
- > would seem to do the trick. This might be called automatically in Init with all
- > the built-in defaults. A user could INHERIT it, override and chain to AddSetup
- > for a fully internal solution, or they could use a compound relationship and add
- > the setups "from the outside" in whatever wrapper routine (or object) they
- > use.

>

- > The details of how set-ups are stored, manipulated, etc., would be hidden, only
- > the published interface of AddSetup need remain the same (or backwards
- > compatible anyway... nothing to stop you adding new keywords as new features
- > become available).

>

> Anyway, it's just a thought. Perhaps you're afraid of scaring off potential

> users with objects. You shouldn't be. It's good for them.

> JD

This seems somewhat "convoluted" to me (but after recent experience, I am sure you will have your reasons for proposing exactly this). I always tend to think that setup is best done with ASCII files that are easily editable and human readable. Yes, you should have a method named something like FSC\_PsConfig::Setup, and this method should define a minimal set of defaults. But then it would read a file and overload the default definitions. If it doesn't find the file, well, then you live with the defaults (or the company creates a child object with specific defaults). Proposed strategy:

- 1. define a few defaults (IDL standard, letter portrait, letter landscape)
- 2. look for setup file ('psconfig.setup') first in local directory, then in IDL !PATH -

potentially also in !FSC CONFIG PATH if it is defined

3. if found, analyze file and overrule default settings

Useful additional keywords to setup would be: /Add (and name, size, ...): add a specific setting "manually" /Help: print out a help message describing the setup file format and search method

As for the file format you could do something like A4: size=11.9.6.2 # not sure about the values color=1 **END** 

A4 Landscape: size=6.2,11.9 color=0 **END** 

etc.

Poor David! The more you do, the more you are asked to do...

Cheers. Martin

PS: If you like a parser method for a format like this, I can send you one.