
Subject: Re: IDL produced postscript files in latex
Posted by [R.G.S.](#) on Thu, 26 Oct 2000 18:27:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Simon,
I've been able to use IDL postscript output
in latex a lot with no problems. If you can't
get it working, feel free to email the
ps figure and your latex command and maybe
I can straighten it out.

Off hand I'd guess you have a bounding box problem.
If you have ghostscript, click "show bounding box"
and make sure it is larger than your image.
If that is ok, then you may have the
latex command incorrectly configured.

I use the include graphics package, (the psfig that
others have posted is obsolete).

Cheers,
bob
stockwell (at) co-ra.com

Here is an example that I use (in a latex file)

```
\begin{figure}
\figbox*{}{}{
\centerline{\includegraphics[angle=180]{sea_meri.ps}}}
\vskip0.2in
\caption[The meridional winds from HWM-93 at a particular local time
for each day of the year]{\small{The meridional winds from HWM-93 at a
particular local time
for each day of the year. The shaded areas are negative (southward) winds.
The contours are at 4 m/s intervals.
For the times 2:00 UT to 8:00 UT there is a significant southward wind
component
in the upper mesosphere from May through August.
\label{hwm_seasonal_merid}}}}
\end{figure}
```

Simon Webster <simon@nonsense.co.uk> wrote in message

news:<8siknl\$g4k\$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...

> Hi,

>

> I'm producing postscript files with idl to go in a latex article.

> Everything is fine when i just have one plot on the page, but when i

> used !p.multi=[0,2,0] latex acted as though the second graph didn't

> exist, overwriting the plot with text. Is this a known problem and is

> there any way around it?

>

> thanks

> simon

>

> (ps David, thanks for the help with the previous query)

>

>

> Sent via Deja.com <http://www.deja.com/>

> Before you buy.
