
Subject: Re: Old Timers ??

Posted by [John-David T. Smith](#) on Thu, 02 Nov 2000 16:01:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Eric Kihn wrote:

```
>
> Hello all,
>
> I've got some legacy code I'm trying to update and it looks like:
>
> ; Read text header from file
> ; until 'end header' reached.
> ;-----
> line = "
> header = "
> repeat begin
>   readf, unit, line
>   if (n_elements(header) eq 0) then $
>     begin
>       header = line
>     endif else $
>     begin
>       header = [header,line]
>     endelse
>   endrep until (strtrim(line,2) eq 'end header')
>
> ;-----
> ; Close file.
> ;-----
> close, unit & free_lun, unit
>
> ;-----
> ;Get relevant info from the header.
> ;-----
> dda_header.file_id = $
>   strtrim( get_keyword( header, 'file ID'), 2)
>
> This code is circa 95 and it's balking at the get_keyword() function. So my
> questions are two:
> 1) Did previous versions of IDL have a get_keyword() (I'm 5.3 now)?
> 2) It's clear this code is simulating a HASH with Arrays, does IDL 5.3 have
> native hash support of some kind?
```

1) No, but a search at

<http://www.astro.washington.edu/deutsch/idl/htmlhelp/index.html> reveals:

```
;+
; Project    : SOHO - CDS
;
```

```
; Name      : GET_KEYWORD
;
; Purpose   : Extract values in a string array that appear after
;             keyword construct such as: KEYWORD=VALUE
;             (e.g. extract all time values following
STARTIME=time_value)
;
```

Could be from that, but then again, it might be something else altogether.

2) No, sadly. The `get_keyword` routine probably does a linear search through the header line array, looking for "file ID" in this case. This is of course just the type of thing hashes were made for. The `get_keyword` routine I found just uses "where", as you might expect. This means not only is the search linear, all elements are considered, even after a match is found. It could have been made more efficient in IDL 5.4 if `array_equal()` had been implemented not just to return true as soon as a match is found, but return the index of that match. Oh well. Maybe an optional variable will be added to `array_equal` for this in 5.4.1. (hint)

It is possible to make a better simulation of a hash with a structure, but that is somewhat inflexible since adding new keywords (fields) is difficult, without copying the whole thing, though `create_struct()` can do it. Then there is the matter of indexing the structure with a variable field (the essence of what hashes do). Possible, but not efficient... in fact nearly as inefficient as a "where" on the full array. Unfortunately, it's not that easy to write a good hash type that scales well for small and large hashes, and doesn't eat too much memory.

JD

--

J.D. Smith | WORK: (607) 255-6263
Cornell Dept. of Astronomy | (607) 255-5842
304 Space Sciences Bldg. | FAX: (607) 255-5875
Ithaca, NY 14853 |
