
Subject: Re: IDL 5.4 Stability

Posted by [Med Bennett](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2000 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, I'm still using 5.0.2, circa mid 1997. It's hard to know what the "best" version for one's particular feature needs and stability is. Maybe we should have a vote as to what the best version of IDL is, taking into account both features and stability. Since I haven't (I hate to admit it) gotten into object graphics yet, I don't consider that functionality to be important right now.

LC's No-Spam Newsreading account wrote:

>>>> That's why I'm still using 4.0.1 at home.... :-)

>>> And it does all you want? You must be easy to please!

>> Indeed, it does.

>

> Me too. I'm still on 4.0 on a DU 3.2 system which has reasons to stay
> that way. I'd like a stable cheap language.

>

> I would be more agreeable to pay cheaper licenses (for instance linked to
> hours of usage, and "rechargeable") than the current floating licenses,
> and a small upgrade/maintenance charge for the rare cases (one is
> approaching) when I have to change the hostid in the license server file
> because I write off an old workstation. I'm really not interested in
> getting CDs of "upgraded" versions which give me lots of GUI oriented
> stuff I do not need, or changes which can be annoying (like the [] stuff
> for arrays) or worsened performance (our license server is tied to 5.1
> because 5.3 does not support OPTIONS.DAT, and I would carefully avoid to
> go to 5.4 since it does not write GIFs anymore).

>

> --

> -----

> nospam@ifctr.mi.cnr.it is a newsreading account used by more persons to
> avoid unwanted spam. Any mail returning to this address will be rejected.
> Users can disclose their e-mail address in the article if they wish so.