
Subject: Re: IDL 5.4 Stability

Posted by [LC's No-Spam Newsread](#) on Fri, 10 Nov 2000 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>> That's why I'm still using 4.0.1 at home.... :-)
>> And it does all you want? You must be easy to please!
> Indeed, it does.

Me too. I'm still on 4.0 on a DU 3.2 system which has reasons to stay that way. I'd like a stable cheap language.

I would be more agreeable to pay cheaper licenses (for instance linked to hours of usage, and "rechargeable") than the current floating licenses, and a small upgrade/maintenance charge for the rare cases (one is approaching) when I have to change the hostid in the license server file because I write off an old workstation. I'm really not interested in getting CDs of "upgraded" versions which give me lots of GUI oriented stuff I do not need, or changes which can be annoying (like the [] stuff for arrays) or worsened performance (our license server is tied to 5.1 because 5.3 does not support OPTIONS.DAT, and I would carefully avoid to go to 5.4 since it does not write GIFs anymore).

--

nospam@ifctr.mi.cnr.it is a newsreading account used by more persons to avoid unwanted spam. Any mail returning to this address will be rejected. Users can disclose their e-mail address in the article if they wish so.
