As a user of both IDL and PVWave (I choose which depending on what side of
the bed I wake up - and which license I can grab), I can recommend them
both. Although, porting from IDL -> Wave or Wave->IDL is becoming more and
more problematic as their functionality and syntax (in particular
structures) gradually diverge, a constant headache.

Unfortunately, we're slowly being booted off our OpenVMS machines to the
considerably less reliable (and from what I've seen slower) Sun Unix
machines. I wish just once that the standard for computing would err on the
technically excellent side instead of the lowest common denominator (see
Mac vx Windows, VMS vs Unix, Unix vs. WNT, ADA vs C/C++) -- although there
is the exception to the rule (I'm becoming fond of Java).

Please do not reply to this, it is not intended as a trawl, or flame
generator, just my humble opinion which no-one has been able to provide a
reasonable argument to warrent any change (NOT to be taken as a challenge).
:-)

Regards,

Hugh

"Harold Stevens ** PLEASE SEE SIG **" <stevens@sp27.dseg.ti.com> wrote in
message news:xVRQ5.62$y23.1709@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com...
> In <3A13DFC0.4B83D7BE@def.com>, Laurie Finn:
>
> |> You could use PV-Wave (they still develop their OpenVMS versions)
>
> [Snip...]
>
> FWIW, I agree, and have found it an excellent graphical analysis
environment:
>
>   http://www.vni.com/products/wave/newoverview.html
>
> --
>