

---

Subject: Re: IDLWAVE 4.7/Tutorial  
Posted by [dominik](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2000 16:17:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>>>> > "JS" == JD Smith <jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu> writes:

JS> It seems what you \*really\* want is for smart indenting to line up things  
JS> with the first non-blank character after the comma in a procedure call,  
JS> in the same way the "(" is treated in a function call, e.g.:

```
JS> print, a, b, c, d, 1, 2, 3, $  
JS>      x,y,z
```

JS> This looks like a reasonable option, in light of IDLWAVE's behavior with  
JS> continued functions.

This is possible and very useful. It was easy to implement.

JS> The "normal" continuation offset is still needed  
JS> in other cases, e.g.:

```
JS> a=b+c+d+e+ $  
JS>  f+g+h
```

JS> Perhaps even this could be a special case, always yielding:

```
JS> a=b+c+d+e+ $  
JS>  f+g+h
```

This on the other hand is really hard. In particular in your code,  
JD, where the left side of the assignment can involve any combination  
of pointers and objects :-). It would be trivial with a scalar  
variable assignment, but not the general case.

JS> Since functions and procedures are already being identified for  
JS> other reasons, I should think this is quite doable. This is  
JS> exactly the kind of thing that Carsten is eager to hear about, and  
JS> also the sort of issue that usually prompts a new alpha version  
JS> addressing it the very same day (unless it's really harebrained)!

4.7c on my site.

- Carsten

---