Subject: Re: Correlate and NAN Posted by btt on Mon, 08 Jan 2001 18:24:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks Pavel and Andy,

I have deNANed the data as you suggested.

Ben

Andy Loughe wrote:

```
> Why not simply perform the correlation on a subset of the larger arrays,
  that portion with the NaNs removed? Something like:
  indices = [ where( finite(dataset1) eq 1 ), where( finite(dataset2) eq 2
> )]
>
  common_indices = indices( UNIQ(indices, sort(indices)) )
>
  Result = CORRELATE( dataset1(common_indices), dataset2(common_indices)
>
  Ben Tupper wrote:
>> Hello,
>>
>> I have two datasets that I would like to correlate using the CORRELATE
>> function. Each dataset has some members flagged as NANs; the NANs are
>> not neccessarily coincident. The online documentation makes no mention
>> of NAN-handling, but the procedure in the lib directory indicates (see
>> modifications history) that it handles NANs (although there is no
>> keyword for it). It doesn't really handle NANs the way I expect it
>> to. For example, repeated calls to the TOTAL function don't set the
>> keyword NAN, so TOTAL doesn't check for NANs.
>>
>> I'm not sure if it is reasonable to involve NANs in a correlation... but
>> it seems reasonable to request that the routine ignore NANs in the input
   arguments.
>>
>> Is there a simple solution to this NAN-jam?
>> Thanks,
>>
   Ben
>>
>>
>> --
>> Ben Tupper
```

- >> Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences
- >> 180 McKown Point Rd.
- >> W. Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575
- >> btupper@bigelow.org

> > --

- > NOAA/OAR/FSL/AD R/FS5 | email: loughe@fsl.noaa.gov
- | wwweb: www-ad.fsl.noaa.gov/users/loughe > 325 Broadway
- > Boulder, CO 80305-3328 | phone: 303-497-6211 fax: 303-497-6301

Ben Tupper Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences 180 McKown Point Rd. W. Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575 btupper@bigelow.org