Posted by Craig Markwardt on Fri, 12 Jan 2001 20:09:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hmm, that would be nice, but can that work on IDL < 5.3?

 Not-living-in-the-here-and-now-when-it-comes-to-IDL-versions Craig

"Pavel A. Romashkin" <pavel.romashkin@noaa.gov> writes:

> How about using compile_opt, strictarr? It will eliminate the need for
> forward_function. I use it all the time and have no worries about IDL
> mixing functions with arrays. This way, the caller does not need
> compiled library functions to know they are functions - the syntax of
> the call implies that they are, and will be available at the execution time.
>
> Cheers,
> Pavel

--
 --- -------------
Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D.   EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response
 --- -------------