Subject: Re: Newbie needs help... Posted by Paul van Delst on Thu, 11 Jan 2001 14:45:04 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Bruce Bowler wrote:
> David Fanning wrote:
>>
>> Bruce Bowler (bbowler@bigelow.org) writes:
>>
>>> I'm willing to try this "thinking outside the box" thing for a while,
>>> but I can't see the box.
>>
>> There is no box. (But see more on spiritual development, below.)
  Then what did I trip over last night on my way to the
  toil<del><del><del>reading room?
>
 Fortunately, I think I landed on the outside, and I didn't hurt
> myself...
>
> As I hit the ground, I realized that displaying the data and extracting
> the data value at some lat/lon are 2 entirely different processes. I
> can use Liam's image_map to display it and came up with clever (but as
 yet untested) way to extract the data.
>
  Given a target lat/lon and BHAlat and BHAlon, how about (in pseudo-code)
       possiblelats = where(BHAlat eq lat{+/- some epsilon})
>
       possiblelons = where(BHAlon eq lon{+/- some epsilon})
>
       possiblevalues = intersection(possiblelats,possiblelons)
>
>
       if number of possiblevalues is between 1 and 10, printout the data
>
            otherwise adjust epsilon either up or down and try again.
>
 Does that sound like it ought to work (and in some time less than a
  glacial epoch)?
> Bruce
```

It seems to me that the problem is one where the transformation from array lat/lon to map lat/lon (for viewing as a contiguous eye-candy type of image) is linear, but the reverse is decidedly non-linear. Your nearest neighbour search above seems like a workable solution since your referencing the original lat/lon array.

paulv

Paul van Delst A little learning is a dangerous thing;

Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian spring; CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP Ph: (301) 763-8000 x7274 There shallow draughts intoxicate the brain,

And drinking largely sobers us again. Fax: (301) 763-8545 Alexander Pope. Email: pvandelst@ncep.noaa.gov