
Subject: Re: efficient kernel or masking algorithm ? UPDATE
Posted by [Martin Downing](#) on Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:52:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> interested in this method which is very fast. It is based on the crafty
>> formula for variance:
>> $\text{variance} = (\text{sum of the squares})/n + (\text{square of the sums})/n*n$
>
> Righto. I knew I was fishing for something like this. Except I think you
mean:
>
> $(\text{population}) \text{ variance} = (\text{sum of the squares})/n - (\text{square of the sums})/n*n$
>
> Luckily, that's how you've coded it too. Sample variance (=population
> $\text{variance} * n / (n-1)$) is of course the more common case in science (as opposed
to
> gambling).

Sigh - I hear what you are saying, but this was a misunderstanding. I
tried to make its use unambiguous by making the default option the
absolute variance of the array (n as the denominator) , or when
POPULATION_ESTIMATE is set then calculate an *estimate* of the population
from which this dataset is assumed to be a SAMPLE [giving (n-1) as the
denominator]. Judging by your reply I failed dismally!

You are right - POPULATION_ESTIMATE is normally termed "sample stdev" and is
the equivalent of IDL's variance(x) - but what they mean is that it is an
estimator of the popn stdev! Still waiting to try it in the casinos :)

Martin
