Subject: Re: JULDAY 5.4 not same as 5.3? Posted by pit on Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:23:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Mark Hadfield" <m.hadfield@niwa.cri.nz> writes:

- >> And what would you do with a number like -('fffffff'xul), ie a number
- >> that to begin with is too large to fit into a signed type.
- > Now, that is a good point.

>

- > There are other situations where auto-magical type conversion could save
- > programmers' skins but is not done by IDL, e.g. 32767S + 1S evaluates
- > to -32767S, not 32768L. Hands up who hasn't been stung by that one!

That were exactly my thoughts when I was thinking about the -1b problem lately, and was the reason I didn't ask here at that time. Just as a similar problem showed up, I decided to shout ;^>

So the essence is boundary checks are (and should stay) a work for the programmer (which is perfectly OK for me....).

Peter	
~~~~~	
Dr. Peter "Pit" Suetterlin	http://www.astro.uu.nl/~suetter
Sterrenkundig Instituut Utrecht	
Tel· +31 (0)30 253 5225	P Suetterlin@astro.uu nl

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from

comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive