Subject: Re: Writing large [x,x,x,x] files Posted by Paul van Delst on Mon, 19 Mar 2001 16:49:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Martin Schultz wrote:
  Craig Markwardt wrote:
>>
>> [...]
>> I'll chime in.
>>
>> Astronomy folks use FITS for data exchange, but other people can too.
>> I know that a lot of people complain that FITS is archaic but it's
>> pretty effective, [...]
```

- > Oh let me advocate GRIB, a format commonly used by meteorological
- > agencies (and established as standard by the WMO). It's archaic, it
- > has a complicated user interface, but it is effective in packing data.
- > And, most wonderful of all: if you would go for it, we would finally
- > get a nice interface to read and write GRIB files from IDL ;-)
- [without call external, i.e.]
- >
- Cheers,

>

- Don't-take-me-serious-Martin
- > PS: The fact that there are no GRIB utilities available in any library > that I know of shows that not too many met people are using IDL. Or is
- > everyone happy with the wgrib solution??

I convert GRIB->netCDF and read the latter in IDL (and other) code. :o) (http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/packages/decoders)

Disk space nowadays is cheap enough and readily available - packing data to save space is usually more trouble than it's worth (unless you're dealing with GB->TB/day from, say, satellite instruments). If your internet connection is too slow and your data absolutely. positively has to be there overnight - Fedex a DAT tape. :o)

paulv

Paul van Delst A little learning is a dangerous thing; CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian spring: Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 There shallow draughts intoxicate the brain, Fax:(301)763-8545 And drinking largely sobers us again. paul.vandelst@noaa.gov Alexander Pope.