
Subject: Re: IDLanROI Confusion

Posted by [davidf](#) on Thu, 05 Apr 2001 18:18:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt (craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu) writes:

- > More than likely the region of interest becomes pixelated into square
- > pixels. It's pretty clear to me that this will give a greater
- > perimeter than a true circle since there are more horizontal and
- > vertical segments than are required.

It is true that this perimeter measurement is affected by pixelation. I've found that by first smoothing the data before I calculate the ISOCONTOUR, then using those values for the IDLanROI object, I can get within a couple of percent of the actual value, as opposed to the normal 15-17%.

By the way, I just noticed that the connectivity and vertices values on the ISOCONTOUR command are actually reversed from what is printed in the on-line help. That may explain a bit of my confusion. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155
