Subject: Re: something like perl's 'require 5.4' Posted by Vapuser on Tue, 17 Apr 2001 16:05:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JD Smith <jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu> writes:

```
> Craig Markwardt wrote:
>>
>> Vapuser <vapuser@catspaw.jpl.nasa.gov> writes:
>>> Hi all.
>>>
      I'm looking for something that check the version of the current IDL
>>>
      session against an input version, like perl's 'require 5.4'
>>>
      semantics and I *thought* I saw someone mention using something very
>>>
      much like this.
>>>
>>>
      Does anyone know of such a thing or was I just hallucinating?
>>>
>>
>> Extending on JD's answer with two more possibilities:
>>
          !version.release LT '5.4' then message, 'ERROR'
>> if double(!version.release) LT 5.4 then message, 'ERROR'
>>
>> The first comparison is a string compare, while the second one is a
>> numeric compare. There is a slight difference, but in practice they
>> are identical, and they also both handle the case of 5.4.1, etc. The
>> former will handle 5.4.1a, but I think it's rare. I always use the
>> latter.
>
  Hmmm...
  IDL> print, double ('5.4') It double ('5.4.1')
>
>
  IDL>print,double('5.4') eq double ('5.4.1')
    1
>
  Hopefully RSI won't try to pull the latter on us.
>
  On the other hand, your string method looks good. The best counter
  example I could come up with is:
>
  IDL> print, '5.5a' It '5.5B'
  Not too likely. I think I'll adopt the string version.
>
> Thanks,
>
```

How about:

version=strupcase(strjoin(strsplit(!version.release,'[.-_]', /extract), "")) input_version=strupcase(strjoin(strsplit(desired_release,'[. -_]',/extract), "")) if version It input_version then 'eeek'

Clearly `desired_release' would have to be input as a string.

Of couse, this method 'requires' IDL 5.3 (IIRC), to get the regular expression semantics of strsplit. The other question is will RSI use any separator in version designations besides these three?

whd

--

William Daffer: 818-354-0161: William.Daffer@jpl.nasa.gov