

---

Subject: Re: Am I stupid?

Posted by [colinr](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2001 09:57:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 10 Jul 2001 14:50:08 GMT,

H C Pumphrey <hcp@newsread.ed.ac.uk> wrote:

> In article <onn16cvpe1.fsf@cow.physics.wisc.edu>, Craig Markwardt

<craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> writes:

> |> Not that [IDL's policy on keyword uniqueness] doesn't frustrate the heck

> |> out of me sometimes. There

> |> are many times where I want keywords like TIME, TIMEUNIT, TIMESTEP,

> |> and so on. My suggestion is that the above policy should hold,

> |> \*unless\* there is an exact match to a specific keyword.

>

> That seems to be what R [1] does already. Apart from this special case, I don't

> see any way around it, not without IDL having a direct link to your

> subconscious so that it can tell which out of TIME, TIMEUNIT and TIMESTEP

> you meant when you said FOO,TI=137 .

What it needs is a syntax to force it to interpret a particular keyword literally.

--

Colin Rosenthal

Astrophysics Institute

University of Oslo

---