

---

Subject: Re: Am I stupid?

Posted by [colinr](#) on Thu, 12 Jul 2001 07:23:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 11 Jul 2001 21:02:04 GMT,

William Thompson <[thompson@orpheus.nascom.nasa.gov](mailto:thompson@orpheus.nascom.nasa.gov)> wrote:

> I've also been occasionally frustrated by this behavior, but I've come to the  
> conclusion (a little reluctantly) that it's actually ``A Good Thing". In a  
> perfect world, we could be sure that the user remembered not to use TIME as an  
> abbreviation of TIMESTEP, but you and I know that we don't live in a perfect  
> world.

>

> It would be better, as somebody has already suggested, if situations like this  
> were caught by the compiler, instead of waiting until somebody actually tried  
> to use the TIME keyword. But the present abbreviation rules should not be  
> changed.

However the current situation also allows the related problem I  
described:

```
pro MySurfacePlot,axiscolor=axiscolor,_extra=e
```

```
<do some stuff with colors>  
shade_surf,MyData,_extra=e
```

```
end
```

which works perfectly for the first 100 times the user uses it until  
the day he tries to set the AX keyword. Thus to use \_extra correctly one  
must bear in mind all possible valid keywords to all inheriting  
subroutines and ensure that none of programmer-defined keywords  
can be abbreviated as any of them.

--

Colin Rosenthal  
Astrophysics Institute  
University of Oslo

---