Subject: Re: base widgets growing uncontrollably....?
Posted by Jim Pendleton on Sat, 28 Jul 2001 02:57:25 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"JD Smith" <jdsmith@astro.cornell.edu> wrote in message news:3B61FE09.765EE529@astro.cornell.edu...

[...stuff]

> Paul van Delst wrote:

```
[...more stuff]
>>
>> JD Smith wrote:
>>>
>>> And to point out the obvious, there's no reason you can't make
compound
>>> widgets also objects, rather than having an all-in-one object widget
>>> design. You might then have a larger object interface which
"composits"
>>> (i.e. includes) the sub-objects directly, perhaps creating them
itself.
>>>
>
> Just think how little restful break-time you'd get in a day if not for
  overly compact programmatic mechanisms.
  Objectingly(ively?) yours,
>
 JD
> P.S. My one line object tutorial:
```

If you're writing applications of more than a couple hundred lines and you're not using an object-based event handler described by JD earlier, you're missing the revolution.

> objects->DoThings, withstuff, LIKE_STRUCTURES=only_smarter

And if you're writing class functionality that *relies on* the IDL widget system, you're missing the next revolution. A GUI is no more than an accessor to class data and methods. With sufficient forethought in design, IDL classes should be able to interact with Ion, VB, C++, perl, python or any other front-end.

Think outside the widgets.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive