
Subject: Re: User selectable lower array bound?
Posted by [thompson](#) on Mon, 06 Aug 2001 14:29:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu> writes:

> Paul van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> writes:

>> Hey there,
>>
>> Is it just me, or would anyone else find useful the ability to define arrays in IDL such
>> that the lower bound is **not** always zero? Sorta like:
>>
>> x = FINDGEN(11, LOWER = -5)
>> or
>> y = DBLARR(100, LOWER = 1)
>>
>> so that accessing elements such as x[-4] or y[100] are o.k.?

> Well, as grumpy as I have been in the past about IDL wishes, this is
> one thing I do not want to have in IDL now!

I'm glad I'm not the only one! When I first saw this proposal, I thought to myself that I could hear the tinkly sound of code breaking all over the place.
:^)

William Thompson
