## Subject: Re: Discussion on global variables in IDL Posted by John-David T. Smith on Mon, 13 Aug 2001 14:27:56 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Ben Tupper wrote:
  JD Smith wrote:
>> So yes, I feel your pain. My special difference of opinion with IDL OOP
>> is the complete lack of publicly available instance data members,
>> forcing one through awkward and slow GetProperty calls at every turn
>> (one can verify the inherent slowness by comparing structure lookup
>> speed to method invocation/return speed). I even found code broken by
>> my desire to avoid this penalty: I was caching in one object another
>> object's data members, which later came to be more dynamic. Ouch.
 Oh geez,
>
> Just when I think I'm finally beginning to understand objects, JD throws
> another curveball. 'Publicly available instance data'. I'm wondering
> what that is, and would like to know what you mean; I hope you can field
 these questions for me.
>
  All I can imagine is something like the following...
>
 myObj = OBJ_NEW('OBJECT_CLASS', data)
> myObj.Name = 'Bob' (rather than myObj->SetProperty, Name = 'Bob')
>
  Am I getting that straight? No method is needed to fiddle with an
> object's data?
Precisely. Different languages have differing approaches to data
encapsulation. Some let you articulate the divide between public and
```

private data. Some leave all data public and leave it up to the programmer to behave. And some, like IDL, lock everything away like a distrusting father with his truck keys.

```
>
>> My
>> secondary complaint is the lack of class variables: variables accessible
>> to every instance of a class, for inter-instance communication.
>
> Does this mean that the variable is defined in the OBJECT_CLASS_DEFINE
> procedure so it gets intialized with the right value? Instead of my
> data field NAME being assigned the value " at initialization, it gets
> assigned the value 'BOB' always?
```

No, it means variables can be defined within the class itself, not within a given instance of the class (aka an object). You can then have "global" variables within one class. So, for all objects a b c d of the same class, a.class\_var, b.class\_var, c.class\_var, and d.class\_var are the same actual variable, and can be used to communicate among them.

Why would you want to do that? Take a look at a post from a few years ago on a Singleton method. There are lots of other good uses you can imagine, also.

But anyway, we should all be glad IDL's higher level programming tools are somewhat crude; otherwise, it might be adopted by an open source development project and a raft of 13 year old kernel programmers would flood our happy little newsgroup with increasingly irrelevant code snippets in a pseudo-machismo recognized only by their kind. They'd probably even start up untold "incomprehensible discussions on Histogram" functionality." Right, David?

JD