Subject: Re: Version Control Posted by Paul van Delst on Mon, 13 Aug 2001 14:03:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Aaron Birenboim wrote:
> Craig Markwardt wrote:
>>
>> Paul van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> writes:
>>> "Dr. Otto Jusko" wrote:
>>>>
>>>> under HP-UX we frequently used a product named "SoftBench" which
>>> provided us with version control and the mandatory file locking. Is such
>>> a product available for IDL? Or in general: Would you like to have it
>>>> inside IDL ?
>>>
>>> I use CVS (Concurrent Versions System) for everything: IDL source
>>> code, Fortran source, shell source code, etc....
>>
>> I second this. I also use CVS to keep multiple copies on different
>> machines synchronized (ie, server, desktop machine, laptop).
> I third.
> CVS is THE DE-FACTO standard. It is used by ALL significant
```

So? Just reading the overview chapter of the CVS docs should be enough to convince folks that CVS isn't the be-all and end-all - even the authors say so. While I like CVS a lot, I encounter plenty of other version control systems (some home grown simple ones) day to day.

- > The ONLY version control I would accept in IDL would
- > be hooks in IDLDE to work with CVS.

> open source software efforts.

That's a bit of a strident statement. I (personally) don't see the point in IDL/RSI favouring one version control system over any other - and if they did, they would still have to allow users to make a choice to use something else.

```
Black holes are where G-d divided
>
      by zero.
>
>
              -Steven Wright
>
```

To within numerical precision at least, cuz, black holes leak don't they? :o)

pauly

A little learning is a dangerous thing; Paul van Delst

Drink deep, or taste not the Pierian spring; CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP

Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274 There shallow draughts intoxicate the brain,

Fax:(301)763-8545 And drinking largely sobers us again.

Alexander Pope.