
Subject: better doc_library?

Posted by [tim](#) on Fri, 31 Aug 2001 21:04:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

I've just been charged with the task of finding a suitable replacement for doc_library that will overcome the following shortcomings:

-we have several 'x_util.pro' files that contain multiple routines, and

doc_library, 'routine_foo' doesn't work in this setup

-doc_library reads its information out of the .pro file on disk, which may be different from the routine loaded into memory

Does anyone have a better method of self-documentation, preferably not using comment blocks (i.e. print statements)? Something like a 'here document' in shell scripting, perhaps?

Thanks,

Tim Lloyd, lloyd@lasp.colorado.edu

"Power that comes from the barrel of a gun can only be effective for a short time." -The Dalai Lama

"We choose to go to the moon...because that goal will serve to organize and measure the best of our energies and skills, because that challenge is one that we are willing to accept, one we are unwilling to postpone, and one which we intend to win." -John F. Kennedy

"Believe nothing, no matter where you read it, or who said it--even if I have said it--unless it agrees with your own reason and your own common sense." -Buddha
