
Subject: Re: Puzzle with floating point underflow
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Thu, 23 Aug 2001 15:21:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Schultz <martin.schultz@dkrz.de> writes:

```
...
> How can a float number be something e-42 if the system says it can only
> represent numbers down to 1.e-38 in a float????????
>
> test= 8.1047657d-42
> IDL> tmp=float(test)
> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating underflow
> % Detected at MGS_RGRID::REGRID 203 /pf/m/m218003/home/IDL/lib/mgs_newobjects
> /mgs_rgrid__define.pro
> IDL> help,tmp
> TMP          FLOAT    = 8.10511e-42
```

You have a denormalized number! Internally most floating point numbers are normalized, which means that the mantissa and exponent are adjusted so that the leading digit in the mantissa is unity. In a denormalized quantity the mantissa doesn't have that property.

It's better to make an example, in decimal arithmetic. We all know that we can change this value:

0.02500	$\times 10^{\{-38\}}$	Denormalized
Mantissa	Exponent	

into this value

2.50000	$\times 10^{\{-40\}}$	Normalized
Mantissa	Exponent	

That is a good way to do it with floating point numbers since there are a fixed number of bits that can be used to represent the mantissa. Normalizing maximizes the number of precision bits available for a given computation.

But what happens when we also only have a fixed number of bits to represent the *exponent*? Then it may not be possible to represent $10^{\{-40\}}$ since the smallest is $10^{\{-38\}}$. In that case one has to be content with the denormalized quantity, like $0.025 \times 10^{\{-38\}}$ above.

You don't get something for nothing, though. The trade-off is that you start to lose precision in the mantissa. The worst case happens when you have the number $2.5 \times 10^{\{-38\}} / 10^5$, something like this:

0.00002	$\times 10^{\{-38\}}$	Normalized
---------	-----------------------	------------

Mantissa Exponent

The "5" in 2.5 just got lost! Because the number of available bits of precision varies with the magnitude of the number, it is best to avoid these kinds of situations. :-)

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@cow.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response
